



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,465	09/30/2003	Soon Young Park	8733.859.00	1993
30827	7590	07/20/2006	EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			BRIGGS, NATHANAEL R	
1900 K STREET, NW			ART UNIT	
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			PAPER NUMBER	
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/673,465	PARK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathanael Briggs	2871	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-6, 8-11, 13-20, 22-25, and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (US 6,333,769) in view of Zhang et al. (6,411,351).
4. Regarding claims 1 and 15, Suzuki discloses an LCD (see figures 1 and 3, for instance), having a substrate (1A) with a display (AR) and non-display part, a gate line (3), a common line (50), and a data line (4) crossing the gate line (3) and the common line (50) while being insulated therefrom, to define a pixel area (53). However, Suzuki does not expressly disclose wherein the LCD has at least one capacitor in the non-display part and connected to at least one of the gate line, the common line and the data line.

Art Unit: 2871

5. Regarding claims 1 and 15, Zhang discloses an LCD (see figure 1, for instance) having at least one capacitor (107) in the non-display part and connected to at least one of the gate line, the common line and the data line (102).

6. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the capacitor disclosed by Zhang in the LCD of Suzuki. The motivation for doing so would have been to improve production yield of manufacturing LCD's by suppressing failure caused by electrostatic breakdown, as taught by Zhang (column 2, lines 53-56). Claims 1 and 15 are therefore unpatentable.

7. Regarding claims 2 and 16, Suzuki further discloses the LCD device according to claims 1 and 15 (see figures 1 and 3, for instance), further having a common electrode (50A) in the display part (AR) of the substrate (1A) and connected to the common line (50); a TFT (TFT) at a crossing area of the gate line (3) and the data line (4); a gate insulating film (column 5, lines 25-30) between the gate line (3) and the data line (4); a protective film (column 5, lines 25-30) on the gate insulating film (column 5, lines 25-30) for protecting the TFT (TFT); and a pixel electrode (53) connected to the TFT (TFT) to form a horizontal electric field with the common electrode (column 2, lines 64-67).

Claims 2 and 16 are therefore unpatentable.

8. Regarding claims 3 and 17, Suzuki in view of Zhang discloses the LCD device according to claims 2 and 16 (see Zhang, figure 1, for instance), wherein the capacitor (107) includes a first capacitor (107) connected to at least one of the gate line (111) and the common line; and a second capacitor (107) connected to the data line (110). Claims 3 and 17 are therefore unpatentable.

Art Unit: 2871

9. Regarding claims 4 and 18, Suzuki in view of Zhang discloses the LCD device according to claims 3 and 17 (see Suzuki figure 1, for instance), further having a first static electricity prevention means (NL) in the non-display part of the substrate (1A) and connected to the gate line (3) and common line (50), which would be attached to the first capacitor; and a second static electricity prevention means (NL) in the non-display part of the substrate (1A) and connected to the data line (4), which would be attached to the second capacitor. Claims 4 and 18 are therefore unpatentable.

10. Regarding claims 5 and 19, Suzuki in view of Zhang discloses the LCD device according to claims 4 and 17 (see Zhang figures 1 and 3(C), for instance), and Zhang further discloses wherein the first capacitor (107) includes a first shorting bar (307) connected to the first static electricity prevention means, at least one layer of insulating film (306) on the first shorting bar (307); and a first dummy line (330) to overlap the first shorting bar (307) on the at least one layer of insulating film (306). Claims 5 and 19 are therefore unpatentable.

11. Regarding claims 6 and 20, Suzuki in view of Zhang discloses the LCD device according to claims 5 and 19 (see Zhang figures 1 and 3(C), for instance), and Zhang further discloses wherein the first shorting bar (307) includes the same metal as any one of the gate line and the data line (column 6, lines 29-31, and lines 38-39). Claims 6 and 20 are therefore unpatentable.

12. Regarding claims 8-9 and 22-23, Suzuki in view of Zhang discloses the LCD device according to claims 5 and 19 (see Zhang figures 1 and 3(C), for instance), and Zhang further discloses wherein the at least one layer of insulating film (306) is the gate

Art Unit: 2871

insulating film (306) and the protective film (306). Claims 8-9 and 22-23 are therefore unpatentable.

13. Regarding claims 10 and 24, Suzuki in view of Zhang discloses the LCD device according to claims 4 and 17 (see Zhang figures 1 and 3(C), for instance), and Zhang further wherein the second capacitor (107) includes: a second shorting bar (307) connected to the second static electricity prevention means; at least one layer of insulating film (306) on the second shorting bar (307); and a second dummy line (330) to overlap the second shorting bar (307) on the at least one layer of insulating film (306). Claims 10 and 24 are therefore unpatentable.

14. Regarding claims 11 and 25, Suzuki in view of Zhang discloses the LCD device according to claims 10 and 24 (see Zhang figures 1 and 3(C), for instance), and Zhang further discloses wherein the first shorting bar (307) includes the same metal as any one of the gate line and the data line (column 6, lines 29-31, and lines 38-39). Claims 11 and 25 are therefore unpatentable.

15. Regarding claims 13-14 and 27-28, Suzuki in view of Zhang discloses the LCD device according to claims 10 and 24 (see Zhang figures 1 and 3(C), for instance), and Zhang further discloses wherein the at least one layer of insulating film (306) is the gate insulating film (306) and the protective film (306). Claims 13-14 and 27-28 are therefore unpatentable.

16. Claims 7, 12, 21, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al. (US 6,333,769) in view of Zhang et al. (6,411,351) as

Art Unit: 2871

applied to claim 1-6, 8-11, 13-20, 22-25, and 27-28 above, and further in view of Nishikawa (US 5,686,976).

17. Regarding claims 7, 12, 21, and 26, Suzuki in view of Zhang discloses the LCD device according to claims 5, 10, 19, and 24 (see Zhang figures 1 and 3(C), for instance). However, Suzuki in view of Zhang does not expressly disclose wherein the first and second dummy lines include the same metal as the pixel electrode.

18. Regarding claims 7, 12, 21, and 26, Nishikawa discloses an LCD (see figure 7, for instance), wherein the dummy lines are made of the same metal as the pixel electrodes (column 4, lines 42-44).

19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the dummy line structure of Nishikawa in the LCD of Suzuki in view of Zhang. The motivation for doing so would be to reduce manufacturing costs by using a reduced number of masks while improving image display quality, as taught by Nishikawa (column 4, lines 5-8). Claims 7, 12, 21, and 26 are therefore unpatentable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathanael Briggs whose telephone number is (571) 272-8992. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM (EST) Monday through Friday.

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nathanael Briggs
7/14/2006


ANDREW SCHECHTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER